

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,357	02/14/2002	Dwip N. Banerjee	AUS920010893US1 1515		
75	90 07/28/2006		· EXAMINER		
Mr. Volel Emile			NGUYEN, VAN KIM T		
P.O. Box 20217 Austin, TX 78	*		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2151		
,					
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/076,357	BANERJEE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Van Kim T. Nguyen	2151			
The MAILING DATE of t Period for Reply	his communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing  - If the period for reply specified above is  - If NO period for reply is specified above,  - Failure to reply within the set or extende	b COMMUNICATION.  Ier the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  date of this communication.  Iess than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period w d period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(6) 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communi	cation(s) filed on 08 Ma	av 2006.				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non-final.				
<u>'</u>	· <del>_</del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	) is/are withdraw lowed. cted. pjected to.					
Application Papers						
Applicant may not request Replacement drawing sheet	that any objection to the cet(s) including the correcti	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object aminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	` '		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c)  1. Certified copies of  2. Certified copies of  3. Copies of the cert  application from the	None of: the priority documents the priority documents ified copies of the priori ne International Bureau	have been received in Application to the have been received ity documents have been received	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-89     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav     Information Disclosure Statement(s)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ving Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	)-152)		

Art Unit: 2151

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to communications filed on May 8, 2006. Claims 1-20 are pending in the case.

#### Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## **Drawings**

3. The amended drawings were received on May 1, 2006. These drawings are acceptable.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-13, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sukegawa et al, hereinafter Sukegawa (US 5,978,894).

Regarding claims 1, 6, 11, and 16, as shown in Figures 1-9, Sukegawa discloses a method of transacting network data, comprising:

determining whether data being processed data is network data (e.g., network adaptor 30 takes charge of the data transfer between nodes, and transfer data to another node; col. 6: lines 31-33); and

Application/Control Number: 10/076,357

Art Unit: 2151

transacting, if the data is network data, the data using a virtual IP address the virtual address being an IP address given to a data holding device in the multiprocessor system (col. 6: line 34 – col. 7: line 46).

Regarding claims 2, 7, 12, and 17, Sukegawa also discloses the data holding device is a buffer (370; col. 19: lines 1 - col. 20: line 8).

Regarding claims 3, 8, 13, and 18, Sukegawa also discloses the buffer (370) is implemented using memory allocation (col. 13: line 39 - col. 14: line 65).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 4-5, 9-10, 14-15, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sukegawa, in view of Potter (US 6,505,269).

Regarding claims 4, 9, 14, and 19, Sukegawa discloses substantially all the claimed limitations, except the buffer contends for access to one of the limited physical interfaces.

Potter teaches the buffer contends for access to one of the limited physical interfaces (e.g., the memory arrays operate independently to avoid contention among the controllers; col. 7: lines 34-45).

Since Sukegawa and Potter teach analogous arts, relating to transferring network data using improved virtual-to-physical address translation techniques, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Potter' addressing

scheme in Sukegawa's system, motivated by the need to reduce performance bottleneck and thus, improve data transmission quality and rate.

Regarding claims 5, 10, 15, and 20, the combination of Sukegawa and Potter also discloses before transmitting the data to the physical interface, the virtual IP address replaced by a destination IP address (e.g., forwarding information based on destination IP address; Potter: col. 5: lines 49-65).

Since Sukegawa and Potter teach analogous arts, relating to transferring network data using improved virtual-to-physical address translation techniques, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Fesas' addressing scheme in Sukegawa's system, motivated by the need to reduce performance bottleneck and thus, improve data transmission quality and rate.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Van Kim T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,357

Art Unit: 2151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Van Kim T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2151

Page 5

vkn

Khanh Dinh Primary Examiner